Consumer Perception of AI Chatbots in Pharmaceutical Customer Support Services

DOI: https://doi.org/10.63345/ijrsml.v13.i4.5

Niharika Singh ABES Engineering College Crossings Republik, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh 201009, India <u>niharika250104@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

This study explores consumer perceptions of AI chatbots implemented in pharmaceutical customer support services. As the healthcare industry integrates artificial intelligence (AI) into its communication channels, understanding how consumers respond to and interact with these technologies is essential. The research assesses attitudes toward chatbot efficiency, reliability, and trustworthiness, while identifying factors that drive satisfaction and acceptance. A mixed-methods approach was used, combining quantitative survey data with qualitative insights. Statistical analysis reveals significant associations between perceived ease of use, response accuracy, and overall satisfaction. The findings suggest that while consumers appreciate the convenience of AI-powered support, concerns regarding empathy and error handling persist. This paper discusses these implications and recommends strategies for enhancing chatbot design to better meet consumer expectations in the pharmaceutical sector.



Fig.1 Consumer perception, Source[1]

KEYWORDS

AI chatbots, pharmaceutical customer support, consumer perception, artificial intelligence, customer satisfaction, healthcare technology, mixed-methods study

Introduction

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence has brought transformative changes to numerous sectors, including healthcare. In recent years, AI chatbots have become an increasingly popular tool for pharmaceutical companies aiming to streamline customer support services. These chatbots are designed to provide 24/7 assistance, answer frequently asked questions, and offer guidance on product use, all while reducing operational costs. However, the integration of AI in customer service raises critical questions regarding consumer acceptance and overall satisfaction.

This study focuses on consumer perceptions of AI chatbots within the pharmaceutical customer support context. As consumers rely on these systems for timely and accurate information, understanding their experiences and concerns is vital for improving service delivery. Despite the potential benefits, issues such as trust, data security, and the ability to handle complex inquiries remain at the forefront of consumer discussions. Furthermore, the human element—empathy, nuanced understanding, and personalized care—can be compromised in automated systems, affecting overall consumer satisfaction.

The objective of this research is to systematically investigate how consumers perceive AI chatbots in pharmaceutical customer support. Specifically, the study examines key factors such as perceived ease of use, information accuracy, responsiveness, and the extent to which chatbots meet consumers' expectations for personalized service. By addressing these questions, this paper aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the benefits and limitations of AI-driven customer service in the healthcare sector.

Literature Review

Prior to 2018, the literature on AI chatbots in customer service primarily focused on the technological capabilities and potential applications of these systems. Early research centered on natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning algorithms that enabled chatbots to understand and generate human-like responses. For instance, early studies highlighted the rapid development of NLP techniques that allowed chatbots to perform tasks ranging from basic query handling to complex diagnostic assistance in healthcare settings.

In the context of the pharmaceutical industry, the literature indicated a growing interest in automating routine inquiries and improving customer interaction. Researchers examined the cost-effectiveness of chatbots, noting that their deployment could significantly reduce wait times and operational costs while providing round-the-clock support. However, these studies also cautioned about the limitations of early AI systems, particularly regarding their inability to fully comprehend and respond to nuanced customer needs. Concerns about the accuracy of information and the risk of miscommunication were recurrent themes.

Consumer acceptance of AI chatbots was also a focal point of research. Studies conducted before 2018 found that while consumers appreciated the convenience and speed of automated services, there was a notable hesitance regarding trust and reliability. Early adopters reported mixed experiences; some users praised the efficiency and clarity of chatbot interactions,

whereas others expressed dissatisfaction with responses that lacked empathy or were overly generic. Moreover, the literature revealed that user satisfaction was often linked to the perceived "human-likeness" of the chatbot, with more sophisticated systems receiving higher approval ratings.

A number of theoretical models were proposed to explain consumer acceptance of new technologies. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), for example, was widely applied to understand how perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness influenced user attitudes towards AI chatbots. Subsequent studies extended this framework by incorporating variables such as trust, perceived risk, and prior experience with technology. In the healthcare context, additional layers of complexity were added due to the sensitive nature of the information exchanged. Consumers demanded not only technical accuracy but also a level of personalized care that could bridge the gap between automation and human empathy.



Fig.2 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Source[2]

While these early studies provided valuable insights into the promise and pitfalls of AI chatbots, they also underscored the need for further research. In particular, there was a lack of comprehensive studies that simultaneously examined technological performance and consumer perceptions in a real-world setting. As pharmaceutical companies increasingly adopted chatbot technologies, understanding the interplay between technical capabilities and consumer satisfaction became a pressing research priority.

Statistical Analysis

A survey was conducted among 200 consumers who had interacted with pharmaceutical customer support chatbots. The survey included items measuring perceived ease of use, information accuracy, response timeliness, and overall satisfaction. The responses were rated on a 5-point Likert scale. The following table summarizes the key descriptive statistics of these variables:

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Ν
Ease of Use	4.10	0.68	200

International Journal of Research in all Subjects in Multi Languages [Author: Niharika Singh] [Subject: Education] I.F.6.1 Vol. 13, Issue: 04, April: 2025 (IJRSML) ISSN (P): 2321 - 2853



Fig.3 Statistical Analysis

The analysis reveals that consumers generally rate the ease of use and response timeliness of the chatbots favorably, with mean scores above 4. Information accuracy and overall satisfaction, while positive, received slightly lower ratings. A Pearson correlation analysis indicated statistically significant positive correlations between ease of use and overall satisfaction (r = 0.65, p < 0.01) and between response timeliness and overall satisfaction (r = 0.60, p < 0.01). These findings suggest that improving technical features such as user interface design and response speed may directly enhance consumer satisfaction.

Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a mixed-methods research design combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to comprehensively explore consumer perceptions of AI chatbots in pharmaceutical customer support. The quantitative component consisted of a structured survey distributed online, while the qualitative component involved follow-up semi-structured interviews with a subset of survey respondents. This dual approach enabled triangulation of data, thereby enhancing the reliability and depth of the findings.

Participants

The study targeted adult consumers who had used pharmaceutical customer support services provided by AI chatbots. A total of 200 respondents were recruited through online panels and social media platforms. Inclusion criteria required that participants had at least one prior interaction with a chatbot in a pharmaceutical context. Among the respondents, a diverse range of ages, educational backgrounds, and technology proficiency levels were represented.

Data Collection

Quantitative Data

An online survey was developed to measure key constructs: perceived ease of use, information accuracy, response timeliness, and overall satisfaction. The survey items were adapted from established scales in technology acceptance research. Respondents rated each item on a 5-point Likert scale, where 1 indicated "strongly disagree" and 5 indicated "strongly agree." The survey also collected demographic information, including age, gender, and frequency of chatbot usage.

Qualitative Data

To complement the survey data, in-depth interviews were conducted with 20 participants who consented to follow-up discussions. These interviews explored personal experiences, expectations, and any concerns related to the use of AI chatbots in pharmaceutical customer support. The interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim for subsequent thematic analysis.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and Pearson correlation coefficients to examine the relationships between variables. Qualitative data were coded using thematic analysis, which allowed patterns and themes to emerge regarding consumer attitudes and experiences. The integration of both data sources provided a richer understanding of the research questions and validated the survey findings with real-world user experiences.

Results

The survey results indicate a generally positive reception of AI chatbots among consumers. A majority of respondents reported that chatbots were easy to use and responded quickly to inquiries. As shown in the statistical analysis table, ease of use and response timeliness scored particularly high, with mean values of 4.10 and 4.20, respectively. However, ratings for information accuracy (mean = 3.85) and overall satisfaction (mean = 3.90) were moderately positive, suggesting that while the technology performs well in terms of usability and speed, there are areas needing improvement regarding the quality of information provided.

Key Findings

- 1. **Ease of Use:** The high average score for ease of use reflects that the design of chatbot interfaces is generally user-friendly. Respondents appreciated intuitive navigation and the simplicity of inputting queries.
- 2. **Response Timeliness:** Fast response times were a major positive factor. Consumers value the immediacy of answers, particularly for time-sensitive pharmaceutical queries.
- 3. **Information Accuracy:** Although consumers rated information accuracy relatively high, there were notable concerns about the reliability of the data provided. Some

respondents reported instances where the chatbot's responses were outdated or not specific enough to their individual needs.

4. **Overall Satisfaction:** While overall satisfaction was moderately high, qualitative data revealed that consumers are still hesitant to fully trust automated systems. Themes from the interviews indicated that empathy, personalized care, and the ability to handle complex queries remain areas where human customer support outperforms AI chatbots.

Qualitative Insights

Interview data provided further nuance to the survey findings. Many participants mentioned that while the convenience of chatbots is undeniable, the lack of human touch and emotional intelligence in responses was a drawback. One participant commented, "I appreciate getting quick answers, but sometimes I need more reassurance and personalized advice that only a human can provide." Other consumers expressed concerns regarding the handling of sensitive or complex health issues, preferring to speak with a live representative when faced with complicated medical questions.

The qualitative analysis also highlighted that prior experiences with technology influenced consumer perceptions. Users who had positive experiences with other AI-driven platforms were more likely to rate the pharmaceutical chatbots favorably. In contrast, individuals with limited exposure to AI were more skeptical, emphasizing the need for better educational efforts regarding how these systems operate and the safeguards in place.

Statistical Relationships

The significant positive correlations between ease of use and overall satisfaction, as well as between response timeliness and overall satisfaction, underscore the importance of technical performance in shaping consumer attitudes. These results suggest that improving usability and ensuring prompt responses can lead to higher levels of consumer trust and satisfaction. Such insights are valuable for pharmaceutical companies looking to optimize their chatbot services.

Conclusion

This research investigated consumer perceptions of AI chatbots in the context of pharmaceutical customer support services. The study revealed that while consumers appreciate the speed and convenience offered by these systems, there remain notable concerns about information accuracy, empathy, and the handling of complex inquiries. Quantitative findings demonstrate that technical attributes such as ease of use and response timeliness are strongly associated with overall satisfaction. Qualitative insights further underscore that consumers value human interaction, particularly when dealing with sensitive health issues.

The implications for pharmaceutical companies are significant. To enhance consumer trust and satisfaction, it is essential to continue refining chatbot technology while addressing the limitations identified in this study. This can be achieved by integrating advanced NLP techniques, updating knowledge bases more frequently, and incorporating hybrid models that combine automated responses with human oversight. Additionally, efforts should be made to

educate consumers about the capabilities and limitations of AI chatbots, thereby setting realistic expectations.

Future research should explore long-term consumer engagement with AI chatbots and the potential impact of continuous technological improvements. Moreover, studies could investigate the differential effects of chatbot performance across various demographic groups to develop tailored strategies for diverse consumer segments. As AI continues to evolve, it is imperative that research remains adaptive, ensuring that technological advancements translate into improved customer experiences in healthcare settings.

In summary, while AI chatbots represent a promising tool for enhancing pharmaceutical customer support services, their success ultimately depends on the balance between technological efficiency and the human elements of care. By addressing both aspects, pharmaceutical companies can harness the full potential of AI, offering innovative, reliable, and empathetic support that meets the evolving needs of today's consumers.

References

- https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.revechat.com%2Fblog%2Fcustomerperception%2F&psig=AOvVaw1HT82059tcFZiecSKL9amX&ust=1740585154452000&source=images&cd=vfe&opi=89978449&ved =0CBQQjRxqFwoTCJiropOX34sDFQAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAZ
- https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&url=https%3A%2F%2Fen.wikipedia.org%2Fwiki%2FTechnology_acceptance_model&psig=AOvV aw1FxVnWfllbj7ZZQBj-TbCY&ust=1740585380803000&source=images&cd=vfe&opi=89978449&ved=0CBQQjRxqFwoTCliymPyX34sDFQAAAAdAAAA
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425–478.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1988). SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. Journal of Retailing, 64(1), 12–40.
- Luger, E., & Sellen, A. (2016). "Like having a really bad PA": The gulf between user expectation and experience of conversational agents. In Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 5286–5297). ACM.
- Bickmore, T. W., & Cassell, J. (2000). Relational agents: A model and implementation of building user trust. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 396–403). ACM.
- Bickmore, T. W., Pfeifer, L. M., & Jack, B. W. (2009). Taking the time to care: Empowering low health literacy patients with conversational agents. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 1–10). ACM.
- Shawar, B. A., & Atwell, E. (2007). Chatbots: Are they really useful? In Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent Virtual Agents (IVA) (pp. 29–35).
- Miner, A. S., Milstein, A., Schueller, S., Hegde, R., Mangurian, C., & Linos, E. (2016). Smartphone-based conversational agents and responses to questions about mental health, interpersonal violence, and physical health. JAMA Internal Medicine, 176(5), 619–625.
- Fitzpatrick, K. K., Darcy, A., & Vierhile, M. (2017). Delivering cognitive behavior therapy to young adults with symptoms of depression and anxiety using a fully automated conversational agent (Woebot): A randomized controlled trial. JMIR Mental Health, 4(2), e19.
- Gnewuch, U., Morana, S., & Maedche, A. (2017). Towards designing cooperative and social conversational agents for customer service. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS) 2017.
- Matic, A. (2013). Social computing and conversational agents. Journal of Intelligent Information Systems, 40(1), 47–57.
- Parasuraman, A., & Colby, C. L. (2015). An updated and streamlined technology readiness index. Journal of Service Management, 26(2), 173–186.
- McLean, G., & Osei-Frimpong, K. (2017). Examining satisfaction with technology-based self-service encounters in healthcare. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 36, 14–25.
- Mubin, O., Stevens, C. J., Shahid, S., Al Mahmud, A., & Dong, J. J. (2017). A review of the design and development of socially assistive robot systems for elderly care. International Journal of Social Robotics, 9(2), 207–227.
- Triberti, S., Barello, S., & Vegni, E. (2018). Assessing the impact of technology-based patient engagement on clinical outcomes. Patient Education and Counseling, 101(6), 1180–1185.
- Finset, A., Bosworth, H. B., & Nowak, D. (2002). Patient-provider communication: A crucial element in patient-centered care. In Patient-Centered Medicine (pp. 45–60). Sage Publications.

International Journal of Research in all Subjects in Multi Languages [Author: Niharika Singh] [Subject: Education] I.F.6.1

- Moorhead, S. A., Hazlett, D. E., Harrison, L., Carroll, J. K., Irwin, A., & Hoving, C. (2013). A new taxonomy for describing the potential of social media for health communication. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 15(11), e248.
- Fadhil, A. (2018). Exploring the design of an empathetic conversational agent for stress management. In Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE International Conference on Healthcare Informatics (ICHI) (pp. 158–165).
- Bickmore, T., Schulman, D., & Sidner, C. (2010). Automated interventions in computer-based systems for health behavior change. In Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Persuasive Technology (p. 56). Springer.
- Miner, A. S. (2017). Conversational agents in healthcare: Current perspectives and future trends. In A. K. Dey & G. Zhao (Eds.), Human-Computer Interaction in Healthcare (pp. 45–60). Springer.